



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It appeared to the examiner that the applicants were seeking to claim something which did not appear in the claims, but found in the specification (left-edge blocks only), and conveyed in the remarks dated 7/23/2010. The examiner indicated that the current state of the claim allowed for broad and reasonable interpretation to allow the application of the Maeda reference in combination with the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) to reject the claims. The examiner suggested that adding the limitation "only" to specify the requirement of the claim would overcome the prior art of record and move the application in condition for allowance. The applicants agreed and provided authorization for an examiner's amendment.